

3. Provide recommendations on which activities to finance (even that some members of iTAC may not agree with the appropriateness of full funding)

Members of iTAC should indicate their priorities for funding within proposals, in addition to the total amount recommended. Even if the recommended amount is equal to the amount requested, other members may not agree and the iTAC as a whole will benefit from a sense of the priorities of individual members. Further, if the allocated amount by an individual iTAC member is less than the requested amount, then the member should make specific recommendations on which part of the proposal to finance and/or how much to finance to each part of the proposal (which could still include all parts of the proposal but with lower financing than requested for each part). In setting priorities and making recommendations, the iTAC member must consider

- x The prioritization of activities provided in the country proposals (these should ye

- x A ranked list of countries/proposals based on scores
- x Information on the dispersion of scores where significant

ANNEX 1: Proposal Rating Form

Program Title:

Country:

Country need, policy, readiness	=	(100 points max)
Country need	=	(25 points max)
Country policy	=	(25 points max)
Country readiness	=	(50 points max)
Proposal readiness	=	(100 points max)
Total score	=	(200 points max)

Country Need (25 points, 12.5 for each section)

Need	Indicators ³	Basis of Assessment by iTAC
<u>Relative measure of need</u> Poverty and hunger & levels of development assistance	First Millennium Development Goal, such as the prevalence of undernourishment (established using simple average of indicators at right that are available for country in question)	Proportion of people below \$1.25/day Poverty gap ratio % of poorest quintile in nat'l consumption

ODA for agriculture \$/rural population

	planned investment returns.		
	IFAD Rural Sector Performance Score	IFAD rural sector performance score (which range from 0 to 5) x 2	

If the IFAD rural sector performance scores do not exist for a particular country, full weighting is given to the policy section in the country proposal

Country Readiness (50 points)

Part 1. Summary of Overall Agriculture and Food Security Strategy and Associated Investment Plan (total points: 50; maximum score of 10 each section)

Note: For African countries, consider organization of country roundtable, signing of CAADP compact specifying long term strategic options for agricultural growth, poverty reduction, and priority investments, as well as modalities for development partnership and inclusive policy review dialogue mechanisms, and post-compact CAADP Investment Plan ratified by a CAADP technical review meeting. For non-African countries, evaluate the quality of the strategic planning process that has led to the formulation of the investment programs entailed in the proposal package.

Section	Content	Basis of Assessment by iTAC	
1.1 Objectives and indicators	Clearly state the overall agriculture and food security strategy objectives and associated investment plan, with monitorable indicators.	Realism of the specific targets relative to past performance, potential, and capacity.	
1.3 Plan components to achieve the objectives	Key policy, institutional and other issues that constrain the achievement of the objectives (including environmental sustainability, capacity, and gender equality considerations), and how the proposed components address these constraints, and which government ministries/entities will be responsible for delivery.	Clarity of the causal link to the proposed objectives, good practice approaches in proposed components, the extent to which environmental sustainability, governance, and gender equality issues have been addressed, and government coordination arrangements for delivery.	
1.4 Planned composition and level of spending to implement the components	Indicative costs of the components to achieve objectives, and how this compares with past public spending. Clarity on how (and why) the composition and level of public spending in agriculture and food security will change from previous years. The trend in the share of public spending on agriculture and food security.	Costs of proposed activities, the past record of budget execution (capacity to utilize funds), realism on future capacity to utilize funds, and Government commitment to the sector as reflected in public spending shares on agriculture and food security.	
1.5 Financing sources and gaps	Source of financing for the investment plan by Government and development partners (who will finance what). Extent of the financing gap.	Extent to which there are genuine	

strategy and investment plan was developed	food security strategy and investment plan, including depth of consultation with domestic stakeholders, especially smallholders and women farmers, farmer organizations, and vulnerable groups (youth indigenous groups etc	(smallholders and women farmers, farmer organizations, civil society, private sector, other grassroots groups, and parliament).	
--	---	---	--

Proposal Readiness (100 points)

Part 2. Specific Proposal for GAFSP financing (total points: 100; max score of 20 each section)

Note: For African countries, consider outcome of the ~~Post~~compact Technical Review Report from the country CAADP business meeting. For ~~non~~African countries, review the evidence of an independent and thorough peer review of the investment plan, such as the CAADP ~~Post~~compact technical review report

Section	Content	Basis of Assessment by iTAC	
2.1 Specific objectives, and targeted results (disaggregated by gender [targeted vulnerable groups], and number of targeted beneficiaries)	Clarity on the specific objectives, expected results including by gender target beneficiaries of the specific proposal, and how it links with the overall sector strategy and investment plan. Clarify how the objectives will integrate gender equality, governance, and environmental sustainability (including climate change adaptation and mitigation if applicable). Specify the M&E framework to be used to assess progress on these objectives.	Assessed against the objectives of the GAFSP Framework Document (including its results framework), including the emphasis on women and smallholders. Proposals with a stronger results/M&E framework and an integration of gender equality and environmental sustainability will be given priority.	
2.2 Activities to be financed	Activities to be financed, duration of activities, and linkages with the overall sector strategy and investment plan, and the scope of the GAFSP framework document and their environmental sustainability. Reasons these activities were selected Government ministries and other entities responsible for delivery. Expected sustainability of proposed activities following GAFSP financing. Modality of financing (investment projects, with or without TA, or other).	For Africa, consider the outcome of the postcompact review process regarding the consistency and coherence of the proposed investment activities. For non-Africa, assessed more explicitly against alignment to the GAFSP Framework Document (raising agricultural productivity, linking farmers to markets, reducing risk and vulnerability, improving nonfarm rural livelihoods, and technical assistance, institution building, and capacity development). In addition, assessed against linkage with the overall country strategy and investment plan for the sec likely environmental and financial sustainability, integration of gender equality, and strength of co-ordination arrangements among entities responsible for delivery.	
2.3 Amount of financing	Prioritized US\$ amount (by activity) requested from the GAFSP Trust	Assessed against the expected results, available Trust Fund resources, and	

requested

Fund, the basis for indicative cost estimates, linkages with other cofinancing sources and its

ANNEX 3: Country Guidelines for Public Sector Window Proposals

1. Eligible Countries

1. The call for proposals will be open to all members of the International Development Association (IDA) that are eligible to receive financing from IDA and not IBRD (“IDA only countries”) and that are not in nonaccrual status⁴. This currently includes 60 countries:

Africa (35 countries)		East Asia (9 countries)	ECA (4 countries)	Latin America (4 countries)	MENA (2 countries)	South Asia (6 countries)
Angola	Lesotho	Cambodia	Kosovo	Guyana	Djibouti	Afghanistan
Benin	Liberia	Kiribati	Kyrgyz Rep.	Haiti	Yemen	

8. Language of proposal English is the operational language of GAFSP, thus submissions are expected in English. In exceptional cases, the Steering Committee may allow countries to submit documents in other languages, to be decided on a case by case basis.

Proposal submission

9. Submission from Ministry of Finance Finance Ministries of eligible countries should submit GAFSP proposals to the GAFSP Coordination Unit (GAFSPCoord@worldbank.org). Every proposal should be signed by the Minister of Finance as well as at least one of the relevant technical ministries (e.g agriculture, rural development, social welfare, environment/natural resource management etc.) and

Annex 1

Sections and Content Guide for the GAFSP Request

Part 1 Summary of Overall Agriculture and Food Security Strategy and Associated Investment Plan [about 75 pages]			
Section	Content	How this will be assessed by the GAFSP Steering Committee	
			Aspects to be reviewed:
1.1 Objectives and indicators	Clearly state the overall agriculture and food security strategy objectives and associated investment plan, with monitorable indicators.		

For African countries:
Review CAADP Post
compact Technical Review

Part 2 Specific proposal for GAFSP financing [about 105 pages]		
Section	Content	How this will be assessed by the GAFSP Steering Committee
2.1 Specific objectives and targeted results (disaggregated by gender [targeted vulnerable groups], and number of targeted beneficiaries)	Clarity on the specific objectives, expected results including by gender, target beneficiaries of the specific proposal, and how it links with the overall sector strategy and investment plan. Clarify how the objectives will integrate gender equality, governance and environmental sustainability (including climate change adaptation and mitigation if applicable). Specify the M&E framework to be used to assess progress on these objectives.	Assessed against the objectives of the GAFSP Framework Document (including its results framework), including the emphasis on women and smallholders. Proposals with a stronger results/M&E framework and an integration of gender equality and environmental sustainability will be given priority.
2.2 Activities to be financed	Activities to be financed, and linkage with the overall sector strategy and investment plan, and the scope of the GAFSP framework document (and for Africa, the links with the four technical pillars of CAADP), and the environmental sustainability. Reasons these activities were selected. Government ministries, and other entities responsible for delivery. Expected sustainability of proposed activities following GAFSP financing. Modality of financing (Investment projects, with or without TA, or other).	For Africa, assessed against alignment to the four technical pillars of CAADP, which all overlap with the scope of the GAFSP framework document. For non-Africa, assessed more explicitly against alignment to the GAFSP Framework Document (raising agricultural productivity, linking farmers to markets, reducing risk and vulnerability, improving non-farm rural livelihoods, and technical assistance, institution building, and capacity development). In addition, assessed against linkage with the overall country strategy and investment plan for the sector, likely environmental and financial sustainability, integration of gender equality, and strength of coordination arrangements among entities responsible for delivery.
2.3 Amount of financing requested	Prioritized US\$ amount (by activity) requested from the GAFSP Trust Fund, the basis for indicative cost estimates, linkages with other cofinancing sources and its comparable size relative to the cost of the country's overall investment plan (prioritization will be important to guide allocation if Trust Fund resources are not available to finance the total request).	Assessed against the expected results, available Trust Fund resources, and confirmation that other local donor financing is not available (to ensure GAFSP funds are additional and not displacing other donor support). Assess cost estimates, likely at a broad programmatic level, to avoid duplication of effort in subsequent and more detailed design and appraisal by selected supervising entities (MDBs, and IFAD).
2.4 Preferred supervising entity	Preferred supervising entity (African Development Bank, Asian Development Bank, Inter-American Development Bank, the World Bank, or IFAD) with associated reasons. Countries may select entities other than the above to assist in design and implementation, but they will need to be agreed to by the supervising entity.	Stronger consideration will be given to country preferences, together with an additional assessment against comparative advantage of supervising entities, considerations of safeguard policies (e.g. environment and governance), leveraging of MDB resources, and historical relations with the recipient country.
2.5 Time frame of proposed support	Expected duration of the proposed activities.	Assessed against the expected life of the GAFSP Trust Fund (currently to end 2019) and the country's agriculture and food security strategy.

